Total Synthesis of Epothilone A through Stereospecific Epoxidation of the *p*-Methoxybenzyl Ether of Epothilone C

Zhi-Yu Liu,* Ze-Cheng Chen, Cheng-Zhi Yu, Rui-Fang Wang, Ru-Zhou Zhang, Chu-Sheng Huang, Zheng Yan, De-Rong Cao, Jian-Bo Sun, and Gang Li^[a]

Abstract: The total synthesis of epothilone A is described by the coupling four segments 4-7a. Three of the segments, 4, 5 and 7a, have only one chiral center; all other chiral centers were introduced by simple asymmetric catalytic reactions. The key steps are the ring opening of epoxide 5 with acetylide 8 for the construction of the C12-C13 *cis* double bond and a practical hydrolytic kinetic resolution (HKR) developed by Jacobsen group for the introduction the chiral center at C3. Especially, the stereospecific epoxidation of 3-O-PMB epothilone C 3b through long-range effect of 3-O-PMB protecting group gave high yields of the C12-C13 α -epoxide for the synthesis of target molecule.

Keywords: epothilone • natural products • stereospecific epoxidation • total synthesis

Introduction

Epothilone A (1) and B (2), first isolated by the Höfle group,^[1] represent a new class of macrolides, which has attracted much attention due to their high antitumor activity with the same mechanism of action as Taxol but new chemical structure. Contrary to Taxol, epothilones retain a much greater toxicity against P-glycoprotein overexpressing multiple drug resistant (MDR) cells. Due to the important antitumor activity combined with their relative structural simplicity and better water solubility compared with Taxol, epothilones generated a lot of excitement among synthetic chemists, biologists, and clinicians as a potential development of new powerful anticancer drugs. Many elegant total synthesis of epothilones have been achieved so far.^[2] However, the ideal synthetic route for the complex natural product as a promising drug candidate should be of high efficiency, low cost with minimal pollution. It is also essential to avoid lowvield reaction(s) performed at the last step(s) from economical point of view.

Six different groups have synthesized epothilone A so far, where the direct epoxidation of epothilone C 3a was performed in the last step of the reaction in 46-62.5% yield along with the β -epoxide isomer in 2.9–12.5 % yield and other by-products.^[3] Recently, the Carriera group^[4] synthesized the C12-C13 epoxide in a chain intermediate and finally removed the 3-O-TBS protecting group to give epothilone A in 38% yield at the last step. Herein, we report the details of a total synthesis of epothilone A based on simple asymmetric catalytic reactions^[5] and through a stereospecific α -epoxidation of the 3-O-PMB epothilone C 3b in high yields within the last two steps in a total of 25 steps and 4.4% overall yield. Retrosynthetic analysis reveals, as shown in Scheme 1, that 3b can be disconnected into four segments 4-7a; three of the segments, 4, 5 and 7a, have only one chiral center, which were easily introduced by asymmetric catalytic Sharpless epoxidation and Jacobsen's hydrolytic kinetic resolution (HKR) method.

Results and Discussion

- [a] Prof. Z.-Y. Liu, Dr. Z.-C. Chen, Dr. C.-Z. Yu, Dr. R.-F. Wang, Dr. R.-Z. Zhang, C.-S. Huang, Dr. Z. Yan, Dr. D.-R. Cao, J.-B. Sun, G. Li Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai, 200032 (China) Fax: (+86)21-64166128 E-mail: zhiyuliu2001@yahoo.com.cn
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.chemeurj.org or from the author.

- 3747

The investigation of the conformation of epothilone A (1) in the solid state^[1b] and in solution^[6] revealed that the 3β hydroxy group is opposite to the C12–C13 α -epoxide with respect to the macrolide ring plane. Thus, protection of the 3β hydroxy group of epothilone C **3a** would hamper β -epoxidation at C12–C13 through the long-range interaction. This derivatization should increase the yield of α -epoxidation product. Therefore, we first synthesized 3-*O*-PMB epothilone C



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of epothilone A.

3b and then further epothilone A by stereospecific epoxidation.

The synthesis of acetylide segment **8** was accomplished as depicted in Scheme 2 according to a modified previously reported synthesis.^[7] Olefinic epoxide **9**, prepared by catalytic Sharpless epoxidation similar to a known procedure^[8] from inexpensive geraniol in 94.1% yield, was converted into olefinic acetonide **11** by stereospecific reduction and aceto-



Scheme 2. Synthesis of acetylide **8**. a) NaBH₃CN/BF₃ · Et₂O, THF, RT, 6 h; b) Me₂C(OMe)₂, conc. H₂SO₄ (cat.), acetone, 0 °C, 30 min, 62.2 % from **9**; c) O₃, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 2 h; d) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0 °C, 1 h, 90 % from **11**; e) TsCl/Py, -10 °C \rightarrow RT, 6 h; f) LiBr (2 equiv), K₂CO₃ (0.2 equiv), acetone, reflux, 45 min, 91.7 % from **12**; g) Na/acetylene, liq. NH₃, -40 °C, 2 h, 95.4 %.

nization. With this simple functional group interconversion, the olefinic acetonide **11** was converted into acetylide **8** in 46.1 % overall yield and eight steps from geraniol.

Epoxide segment **5** was obtained by employing a Sharpless epoxidation strategy starting from crotyl alcohol (Scheme 3). Tosylate **16**, prepared under literature conditions^[9] (99.5 % *ee*, 66 % yield for two steps in a one-pot reaction), was treated with benzyl alcohol in the presence of Lewis acid^[10] to afford alcohol **17**, which was then converted without purification to epoxide fragment **5** by treatment with base in 87 % yield (97.8 % *ee*) for two steps in a one-pot reaction. Modified Wittig reagent **6** was easily synthesized by heating chloride **18** (synthesized from 1,3-dichloroacetone)^[11] and tri-*n*-butyl-phosphine in 84 % yield (over two steps).



Scheme 3. Synthesis of fragments **5** and **6**. a) Benzyl alcohol (1.5 equiv), $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (0.1 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , RT, 1.5 h; b) K_2CO_3 (2.0 equiv), MeOH, RT, 2 h, 87.4 % for two steps; c) 70 °C, 4 h, without solvent, 87 %.

We wish to report a practical preparation of the important C1-C6 segment 7a. The segments 7b, c and the corresponding acetonide of 7c (from the free diol) have been synthesized (see Scheme 4) using stoichiometric asymmetric reactions,^[3c, 12] chiral auxiliary methods,^[3d, 13–15] asymmetric Aldol condensation,^[16, 17] Sharpless epoxidation,^[18, 19] and enzymecatalyzed kinetic resolution.^[20] Our strategy for the synthesis of 7a is based on the Jacobsen's HKR^[21] and methoxycarbonylation of the chiral terminal epoxide^[22] as the key steps. This method features high optical purity and easy large-scale preparation. Thus, vinyl ketone 19^[23] was epoxidized with Oxone in mixture solution of acetone and water to give racemic epoxide 20. The racemic terminal epoxide was then treated under the general procedure of Jacobson's HKR to afford the desired chiral epoxide ketone 21 (>99% ee, 48.3%)yield) and chiral diol 22 (90% ee, 40.5% yield), which can be easily converted into the required epoxide ketone 21 with additional three steps in 66.9% overall yield. Regioselective carbomethoxylation of the chiral terminal epoxide ketone 21 in the presence of $Co_2(CO)_8$ as catalyst and 3-hydroxylpyridine as co-catalyst afforded β -hydroxyester 23 in 65% yield.

At this stage, we first had to consider the selection of a suitable orthogonal protecting group for the hydroxy group in **23** that should also be easily removable at the last steps of the synthesis after introducing C12 – C13 α -epoxide group. 4-Methoxybenzyl (PMB) was preferred since it is stable under acidic conditions necessary for cleavage of the TBS group at



Scheme 4. Synthesis of the C1–C6 fragments **7a,b** and **7c**. a) Oxone (1.0 equiv), NaHCO₃, acetone/H₂O (1:1), RT, 3 h, 85.6%; b) salen-Co^{III}OAc (2.0 mol %), H₂O (0.6 equiv), RT, 36 h, 48.3% for epoxide **21** (> 99% *ee*) and 40.5% for diol **22** (90% *ee*); c) PhCOCl (1.2 equiv), pyridine, 0°C, 2 h, then RT, 12 h, 92.1%; d) MsCl/Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 12 h; e) K₂CO₃, MeOH, RT, 30 min, 72.6% for two steps; f) 5 mol% Co₂(CO)₈, 10 mol% 3-hydroxypyridine, THF/MeOH (1:1), 750 psi CO, 65°C, 24 h, 65%; g) PMBOC(=NH)CCl₃ (2.0 equiv), CF₃SO₃H (cat.), cyclohexane/CH₂Cl₂ (2:1), RT, 30 min, 95.1%; h) LiOH (1.2 equiv), THF/H₂O (1:1), RT, 5 h, 88.6%; i) TBSOTf (1.3 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (2.1 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, RT, 30 min, 96.4%; j) NaOH (4.9 equiv), *i*PrOH, RT, 6 h, 95%; k) NaBH₄ (4.0 equiv), CaCl₂ (2.0 equiv), THF/EtOH (4:6), RT, 18 h, 98%; l) TBSCl (1.5 equiv), imidazole (2.5 equiv), DMF, RT, 3 h, 85%; m) Dess–Martin periodinane (1.5 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, RT, 30 min, 96.5%.

C15 and C7 position and can be easily removed by 2,3dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) under neutral conditions necessary to avoid epoxide opening in the last step. Protection of the 3-hydroxy group of the β -hydroxyester 23 gave 24 in excellent yields, which then was hydrolyzed to keto acid **7 a** by saponification with LiOH. The β -hydroxyester **23** is also a key intermediate for the synthesis of the other C1-C6 fragments 7b and 7c. Silvlation of 23 with tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (TBSOTf) furnished silyl ether 25, which was converted to keto acid $7b^{[24]}$ by saponification with NaOH. Reduction of 25 with NaBH₄/CaCl₂ in a solution of THF/ EtOH (2:1) provided diol 26 in excellent yields, which was then converted to ketone $7c^{[3b]}$ by selective silvlation of the primary hydroxy group and subsequent oxidation of the remaining free secondary hydroxy group in 82% yield over two steps ..

After the successful syntheses of the segments **5**, **6**, **7a**, and **8** by simple and practical catalytic asymmetric reactions in order to introduce the necessary chiral centers at C3, C8 and C15, we next focussed on the connection of the four segments to obtain the macrolactone of epothilone A.

The synthesis of the C7–C21 segment by coupling the building blocks **5**, **6** and **8** was accomplished as depicted in Scheme 5. Acetylide anion, prepared by reaction of **8** with *n*BuLi, was treated with the epoxide segment **5** in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O to give acetylide alcohol **28**, which underwent partial hydrogenation in the presence of Lindlar catalyst. The reaction provided the desired *cis* double bond product **29**^[25] in excellent yields. At this stage, it is very important to choose a suitable protecting group for the resulting C15-hydroxy group. Not only should this protecting

Next, replacement of the EE group of **32** with TBS was accomplished by a two-step procedure.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of C7–C21 fragment **36**. a) *n*BuLi (1.0 equiv), THF, – 78 °C, 15 min, then to –40 °C for 40 min, then BF₃·Et₂O (0.6 equiv) at – 78 °C, 10 min; then epoxide **6** (0.5 equiv), –78 °C, 30 min, 89.6 %; b) Lindlar catalyst (10wt %), MeOH, H₂, RT, 1.5 h, 93 %; c) vinyl ethyl ether (10 equiv), PPTS (cat.), CH₂Cl₂, RT, 2 h, 96 %; d) Na (10 equiv), NH₃ (liq), THF, –78 °C, 30 min, 97.9 %; e) Swern oxidation; f) *n*PrOH, PPTS, RT, 2 h; g) TBSCl (2.6 equiv), imidazole (8.7 equiv), DMF, RT, 5 h, 85.3 % for 3 steps; h) **7** (3.0 equiv), *t*BuOK (2.5 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 30 min; then **30**, RT, 2 h, 92 %; i) CuCl₂ (3.0 equiv), CH₃CN, RT, 2 h; j) NaIO₄/silica gel, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 15 min, 79.6 % over two steps.

group be stable, and non-migratable from C16-O to C15-O position in the next reductive cleavage of C16-OBn under strongly basic conditions (Na/ liq. NH₃), but it also should be stable in the acidic hydrolysis of the acetonide group and sensitive enough to be removed selectively in the presence of the C3-OH and C7-OH protecting groups for further macrolactonization. In fact, it is rather impossible to select such a protecting group, which meets all above-mentioned requirements. Thus, two different protecting groups were chosen for the C15-OH group. Firstly, the C15-OH group of 29 was protected with the ethoxy ethyl (EE) group to give 30 in excellent yields. Reductive cleavage of the benzyl ether of 30 with Na/liq. NH₃ gave secondary alcohol 31, which was smoothly oxidized to ketone 32 under Swern conditions.

- 3749

In order to introduce the thiazole side chain stereospecifically with an *E* double bond, Armstrong's method was applied.^[26] A modified Wittig reaction of the tributylphosphonium salt $6^{[7b, 27]}$ and the silyl ketone **34** with a long chain stereoselectively produces **35** without any *Z* isomer detectable by NMR. Selective hydrolysis of the acetonide of **35** followed by oxidative cleavage of the resulting diol gave the aldehyde **36** in 18 steps and 22.4 % overall yield starting from geraniol.

With the synthesis of the C7-C21 segment **36** achieved with a highly stereospecific formation of the *cis* double bond at C12-C13 and the C1-C6 segments **7a** as described above, our next objective was the synthesis of the macrolactone to finish the synthesis of epothilone A.

The convergent approach to epothilone A is shown in Scheme 6. Keto acid 7a was treated with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) in THF to generate the dilithio derivative, followed by addition of aldehyde 36 to give a mixture of the desired aldol product 37a and its (6S,7R)-diastereoisomer 37b in \approx 1:1 ratio. Since the stereocontrolled Aldol condensation for the synthesis of epothilones had been achieved, [3d, 16, 28] we focussed on the following stereospecific α -epoxidation despite the lack of stereoselectivity in this reaction. Thus, exposure of 37a, b to excess of TBSOTf and 2,6-lutidine gave a mixture of trisilylated products 38 a, b, which were then treated with K_2CO_3 in MeOH to afford carboxylic acids **39a**, **b** (72.7%, four steps). Selective removal of TBS group at the C15-OH position was achieved by treatment of 39a, b with tetra-nbutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF to generate hydroxy acids 40a,b in 85.3% yield. The macrolactonization reaction was carried out using Yamaguchi method (2,4,6trichlorobenzoyl chloride, Et₃N, 4-DMAP). Interestingly it turned out that the yields of lactone products did depend on the reaction temperature: The combined yields of 41 and 42 were 35, 44, 60, 81 and 74% under reaction temperatures at 20, 25, 50, 80 and 90°C, respectively, so that the optimum reaction temperature was 80 °C. At this stage, lactone 41 and 42 can be easily separated by flash chromatography. Exposure of 41 to trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) produced epothilone C 3a in 88 % yield. All spectra data of the synthesized epothilone C are identical to those of an authentic sample.^[29] Similarly, (6S,7R)-isomer of epothilone C was obtained from 42. Direct epoxidation of epothilone C 3a with 3,3-dimethyldioxirane (DMDO) provided epothilone A 1 (52% yield) and its β epoxide isomer (10%). The results for the non-stereospecific epoxidation are similar to those achieved by other groups for the direct epoxidation of epothilone C.^[3, 30]

Therefore, we were interested in opening a new access to the C12–C13 epoxide by a stereospecific α -epoxidation. Still crucial for this goal was an adequate protection of the 3β hydroxy group in epothilone C which would direct stereoselective α attack at C12–C13 double bond by long-range interactions. Thus, selective desilylation of 7-OTBS with HF•py led to 3-O-PMB epothilone C **3b** in 91% yield. Then, exposure of **3b** to DMDO in CH₂Cl₂ at -35° C provided the desired α -epoxide product **43** in 88% yield without β -epoxide isomer detectable by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Finally, smooth removal of the 3-O-PMB protecting group in **43** with DDQ under neutral conditions furnished epothilone A **1** in 93% yield.^[31] All



Scheme 6. Total synthesis of epothilone A. a) LDA (6.0 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 15 min; then **7a** (3.0 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 15 min, then -40 °C for 30 min; then **36** (1.0 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 10 min; b) TBSOTf (6.0 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (10.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 2 h; c) K₂CO₃ (6.0 equiv), MeOH, 25 °C, 15 min, 72.2 % for a mixture of **39a/39b** (ca. 1:1); d) TBAF (6.4 equiv), THF, RT, 8 h, 85.3 %; e) 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoylchloride (7.5 equiv), Et₃N (8.3 equiv), THF, RT, 15 min; then toluene, add to 4-DMAP (10 equiv) in toluene, 80 °C, 3 h, 40.5 % for **41** and 40.5 % for **42**; f) 20 % CF₃COOH, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 1 h, 88 %; g) 3,3-dimethyldioxirane, CH₂Cl₂, -30 °C, 1 h, epothilone A (1) (52 %) and its *β*-epoxide diastereoisomer (10%) (5:1); h) HF • pyridine, THF, RT, 2 h, 91 %; i) same as g), 88 %; j) DDQ (2.6 equiv), CH₂Cl₂/H₂O (20:1), RT, 1 h, 93 %.

spectral data of the synthesized epothilone A **1** are identical to those of an authentic sample.^[29]

Conclusion

We have presented an efficient synthesis of epothilone A based on utilizing simple catalytic asymmetric reactions for the formation of crucial chiral centers using the acetylide opening epoxide strategy for the highly stereoselective construction of *cis* double bond at C12–C13 and Jacobsen's HKR as key step for the practical synthesis of C1–C6 fragment. We would like to emphasize that the methodologies

used, especially the directed α -epoxidation and the usage of the 3-*O*-PMB protecting group would be useful for the future syntheses of epothilones and their analogues.

Experimental Section

General: Solvents were dried by standard procedures and redistilled under N_2 atmosphere prior to use. All reactions were routinely performed under N_2 atmosphere unless otherwise indicated. Flash chromatography was accomplished using silica gel H (10–40 μ m). Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated glass-backed plates (F_{254}) and visualized by using either a UV lamp, phosphomolybdic acid (PMA), sulfuric acidic/vanilline, or potassium permanganate solution. Melting points are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM 300 spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin–Elmer 983 spectrometer; high-resolution mass spectra were obtained on Finnigan MAT 8430 spectrometer (reference PFK, peak matching method, accuracy ± 2 ppm). Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin–Elmer 241 polarimeter.

(1R)-1-[(2S)-Oxiran-2-yl]-1-(benzyloxy)ethane (5): A solution of 16 (4.085 g, 16.86 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, benzyl alcohol (2.6 mL, 25.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and BF3 • Et2O (0.2 mL, 1.58 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were added. The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at RT, then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (30 mL), and K₂CO₃ (4.66 g, 33.7 mmol, 2 equiv) was added. After the solution was vigorously stirred for 2 h at RT, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove most of the MeOH. The residue was diluted with Et₂O (60 mL), washed with water and brine, dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to give 6 (2.626 g, 87.4%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -8.4$ (c = 1.5, CHCl₃); MS: *m*/*z* (%): 178 (0.76) [*M*⁺], 107 (38.18), 108 (11.93), 91 (100), 65 (13.53); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3064$, 3032, 2982, 2870, 1497, 1454, 1372, 1105, 1072, 923, 738, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.38 - 7.26$ (m, 5H, Ph-H), 4.63, 4.57 (AB, $J_{\rm AB}\!=\!11.8~{\rm Hz},~2\,{\rm H},~{\rm OCH_2Ph}),~3.43$ (td, $J\!=\!6.4,$ 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.96 (ddd, J = 2.7, 3.8, 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.80 (dd, J = 3.9, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.71 (dd, J = 2.7, 5.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.31 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CH); elemental analysis calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}O_2$ (178.23): C 74.13, H 7.92; found: C 73.89, H 8.01.

[(2-Methylthiazol-4-yl)-methyl]-tri-*n***-butylphosphonium chloride (6): A mixture of 4-chloromethyl-2-methyl-thiazole (18**; 21.17 g, 158.6 mmol) and tri-*n*-butylphosphine (33.6 g, 166 mmol) was heated under stirring at 70 °C for 4 h and the mixture was cooled to RT, followed by the addition of anhydride Et₂O (200 mL), led to the precipitation of **6** as hygroscopic crystals (46.3 g, 87%). IR (KBr): \tilde{v}_{max} = 3057, 2959, 2931, 2872, 1517, 1465, 1187, 1098, 954, 918, 806, 718 cm⁻¹; MS: *m*/*z* (%): 314 (15.65) [*M*⁺ − Cl], 313 (100) [*M*⁺ − HCl], 284 (22.21), 257 (18.22), 200 (11.48), 172 (16.68), 113 (47.17), 41 (11.59); ¹H NMR (300 Mz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.75 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (s, 3 H), 2.47 − 2.37 (m, 6H), 1.51 − 1.46 (m, 12 H), 0.95 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 9H); HRMS: calcd for C₁₇H₃₃NSP [*M*⁺ − Cl]: 314.2073; found: 314.2068.

(35)-3-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-4,4-dimethyl-5-oxo-heptanoic acid (7 a): H₂O (25 mL) and LiOH · H₂O (203 mg, 4.85 mmol) were added to solution of ester 24 (1.315 g, 4.08 mmol) in THF (25 mL). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at RT. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF. The resulting aqueous phase was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (5 × 10 mL) and acidified with a 1M KHSO₄ solution to pH 4–5. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give acid **7a** (1.114 g, 88.6%) as a yellowish oil. $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} = -17.7$ (c = 2.2, CHCl₃); MS: m/z (%): 307 (0.52) $[M^+ - H]$, 172 (1.42), 137 (14.06), 122 (11.14), 121 (100), 57 (10.64); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 2976, 2936, 1709, 1614, 1515,$ 1468, 1249, 1088, 822 cm⁻¹; ¹H MNR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.18 (br s, 1 H, CO₂H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 4.57, 4.39 (AB, J_{AB} = 10.8 Hz, 2H, ArCH₂O), 4.24 (dd, J = 4.5, 6.7 Hz, 1H, CH-OPMB), 3.77 (s, 3H, Ar-OCH₃), 2.65-2.38 (m, 4H, COCH₂CH₃, CH_2CO_2H), 1.19 [s, 3H, $C(CH_3)_2$], 1.10 [s, 3H, $C(CH_3)_2$], 0.99 (t, J=

7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CH₂); elemental analysis calcd for $C_{17}H_{24}O_5$ (308.37): C 66.21, H 7.84; found: C 65.99, H 8.05.

(3S)-3-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4,4-dimethyl-5-oxo-heptanoic acid (7b): NaOH (63 mg, 1.58 mmol, 4.9 equiv) was added to a solution of 25 (100 mg, 0.32 mmol) in isopropanol (8 mL). After being stirred for 6 h at RT, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of 1M KHSO₄ to adjust the pH to 4–5. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×20 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH_4Cl}$ and brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to obtain acid 15 (91 mg, 95%) as a viscous, colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -17.7$ (c = 0.8, CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +$ 16.1 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃)^[3b]; MS: m/z (%): 303 (93.43) [M^+ +H], 302 (100), 285 (48.32), 245 (32.14), 203 (88.75), 153 (57.1), 75 (82.28), 57 (78.25); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 2957, 2933, 1713, 1473, 1255, 1093, 837 \text{ cm}^{-1}; {}^{1}\text{H} \text{ NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.48$ (dd, J = 3.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H, CHOSi), 2.59–2.47 (m, 3H, CH₂CH₃, CH₂COOH), 2.33 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.14 [s, 3 H, $C(CH_3)_2$], 1.09[s,3H, $C(CH_3)_2$], 1.01 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH_3CH_2), 0.85 [s, 9H, (CH₃)₃C], 0.06 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.04 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂]; HRMS(EI): calcd for $C_{11}H_{21}O_4Si$: 245.1210; found: 245.1222 $[M^+ - tBu]$.

(55)-5,7-Di-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4,4-dimethylheptan-3-one (7 c): Imidazole (37 mg, 0.55 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and TBSCl (50 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added to a solution of diol 26 (64 mg, 0.22 mmol) in dry DMF (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 3 h and quenched with slowly addition of MeOH (1 mL). The mixture was diluted with Et_2O (30 mL) and washed with water and brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 . Removal of the solvent afforded 27 (76 mg, 85%) as a colorless oil, which was used for preparation of 18 without further purification.

Dess-Martin periodinane (119 mg, 0.28 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added to a solution of 27 (76 mg, 0.19 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at RT and quenched with addition of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (2 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography of the residue afforded **7c** (73 mg, 96.5%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -8.4$ (c = 1.20, CHCl₃); [lit:^[3b] $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -7.3 (c = 1.8, CHCl_3)$]; MS: m/z (%): 387 (9.24), 345 (7.93), 303 (100), 187 (88.47), 171 (49.37), 89 (37.96), 57 (52.09); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 2938, 2859, 1708, 1473, 1388, 1257, 1098, 940, 837, 776 \text{ cm}^{-1}; {}^{1}\text{H NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.06$ (dd, J = 3.2, 7.3 Hz, 1 H, CHOSi), 3.63 - 3.58(m, 2H, CH₂OSi), 2.51 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 1.51-1.47 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂OSi), 1.10 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.04 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 0.99 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂), 0.88 [s, 18H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.088 [s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.032[s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂].

4-Chloromethyl-2-methyl-thiazole (18): The title compound (27.5 g, 92.9%) was prepared from thioacetamide (15 g) and 1,3-dichloro-propan-2-one (25 g) by the method of Hooper.^[11]

(±)-2-Methyl-2-oxiranylpentan-3-one (20): 4,4-Dimethyl-5-hexen-3-one (8; 10.0 g, 80 mmol), acetone (100 mL), H₂O (100 mL) and EDTA (30 mg) were combined under stirring in a 500 mL three-necked flask. The solution was cooled to 0-5 °C, then a mixture of Oxone (48.5 g, 80 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and NaHCO₃ (48.5 g) were added in several portions. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at RT and was subsequently filtered under reduced pressure. The filtrate was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 50 mL). The organic extracts were dried over Na2SO4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was distilled in vacuo to give pure 20 (9.644 g, 85.6 %) as a colorless oil. B.p. 90-92 °C at 20 mm Hg; IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 2980$, 1711, 1378, 1365, 1101, 974, 834 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 3.05 \text{ (dd}, J = 4.3, 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 2.75 \text{ (dd}, J = 8.7,$ 4.3 Hz,1 H), 2.64 (dd, J = 4.3, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.59 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H), 1.07 (s, 3 H), 1.05 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); MS: m/z (%): 142 (1.81) [M⁺], 113 (2.55), 85 (16), 69 (18), 57 (100), 55 (44), 41 (24); HRMS(EI): calcd for C₈H₁₄O₂: 142.0994; found: 142.1035.

Hydrolytic kinetic resolution of racemic epoxide 20: A mixture of racemic epoxide 20 (7.0 g, 49.2 mmol), salen-Co^{III}OAc catalyst (670 mg, 0.98 mmol, 1.99 mol%) and H₂O (531 mg, 29.5 mmol, 0.60 equiv) was stirred for 36 h at RT. The reaction mixture was bulb-to-bulb distilled under reduced pressure to give a mixture of the chiral epoxide 21 and diol 22. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography to give 21 (3.384 g, 48.3%) and diol 22 (3.192 g, 40.5%).

FULL PAPER

2-[(2R)-Oxiran-2-yl]-2-methylpentan-3-one (21): $[a]_D^{20} = +16.1$ (c = 2.2, CHCl₃); >99% *ee* (determined by HPLC analysis with a Chiralpak AS column, λ 284 nm); IR (film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 2980$, 1711, 1378, 1365, 1101, 974, 834 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.05$ (dd, J = 4.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J = 8.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, J = 4.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.05 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); MS: m/z (%): 142 (1.81) [M^+], 113 (2.55), 85 (15.83), 69 (18.52), 57 (100), 55 (43.58), 41 (23.72); elemental analysis calcd for C₈H₁₄O₂ (142.20): C 67.57, H 9.92; found: C 67.61, H 9.86.

(55)-5,6-Dihydroxy-4,4-dimethylhexan-3-one (22): $[a]_{20}^{20} = +10.4$ (c = 1.9, CHCl₃); IR (film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3416$, 2976, 1702, 1469, 1388, 1089, 1019, 972 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.14$ (dd, J = 4.9 Hz, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.81–3.72 (m, 1H), 3.71–3.58 (m, 2H), 2.53 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.63 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (s, 6H), 1.10–0.89 (m, 3H); MS: m/z (%): 161 (5.59) [M^+ +H], 143 (100), 131 (2), 85 (15), 71 (29), 57 (47); elemental analysis calcd for C₈H₁₆O₃ (160.21): C 59.98, H 10.06; found: C 60.29, H 10.00.

Conversion of diol 22 to epoxide 21: Benzoyl chloride (0.9 mL, 7.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added to a solution of diol 22 (0.8 g, 5.0 mmol) in dry pyridine (20 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C, then allowed to warm to RT and stirred for additional 12 h, after which time no starting material was detected by TLC. Methanol (1 mL) was added to quench the reaction. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), washed with $\mathrm{H_2O},$ saturated aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO_4}$ solution and brine. The organic phase was dried over Na2SO4, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography of the residue provided pure benzoylate of 22 (0.538 g, 92.1%) as a wax-like product. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +22.9 \ (c = 1.3, \text{ CHCl}_{3}); 90\% \ ee$ (determined by chiral HPLC); MS: m/z (%): 264 (0.14) [M^+], 247 (15.69), 143 (57.88), 105 (100), 77 (88.36), 68 (23.61), 57 (31.43); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} =$ 3491, 3066, 2978, 1720, 1603, 1452, 1276, 1121, 713 cm $^{-1}$; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta = 8.03$ (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 7.5, 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 4.55 (dd, J = 2.8, 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.32 (dd, J = 7.3, 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.11 (dd, J = 2.8, 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.02 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); elemental analysis calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₄ (264.32); C 68.16, H 7.63; found: C 67.99, H7.43.

Triethylamine (1 mL, 7.2 mmol, 4.5 equiv) and tosyl chloride (0.5 mL, 6.4 mmol, 4.0 equiv) were added at 0 °C to a solution of benzoylate of **22** (0.417 g, 1.58 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to RT and stirred for 12 h. After completion of the reaction, methanol (1 mL) was added, followed by H₂O (10 mL). The organic phase was separated, washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the tosylate (0.392 g) as a yellowish oil, which was used in the next step without further purification.

Thus, the tosylate (0.392 g, 1.14 mmol) prepared above was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL). K₂CO₃ (0.275 g, 2.0 mmol, 1.8 equiv) was added at RT. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred for 1 h, and H₂O (20 mL) was added. Most of methanol was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography gave pure **21** (0.163 g, 72.6% from **11 a**) as a colorless oil. $[a]_{D}^{30} = +15.0$ (c = 1.6, CHCl₃); 90% *ee* (determined by chiral HPLC).

Methyl (S)-3-hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-5-oxo-heptanoate 23: An autoclave was charged under air with Co₂(CO)₈ (1.0 g, 2.93 mmol) and 3-hydroxypyridine (0.555 g, 5.84 mmol). THF (20 mL) and MeOH (20 mL) were added, followed by chiral epoxide 21 (8.5 g, 59.8 mmol). The reaction vessel was flushed three times with CO gas and then charged to a pressure of 750 psi (\approx 50 bar). After the reaction mixture was heated and stirred for 24 h at 65 $^{\circ}\text{C},$ the autoclave was cooled to RT, and the excess gas was released carefully; the reaction mixture was poured into $Et_2O(200 \text{ mL})$ to precipitate the catalyst mixture. The suspension was stirred under air for 2 h and then filtered through a plug of Celite. The solvents was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to give pure β -hydroxyester 23 (7.238 g, 65%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -32.4$ (c = 1.4, CHCl₃), 99.8 % *ee* [determined by chiral HPLC with an Chiralpak OJ column; mobile phase: n-hexane/iPrOH (80:20)]; λ 214nm); MS: m/z (%): 203 (37.98) [M^+ +H], 185 (7.71), 143 (9.30), 103 (10.10), 91 (72.14), 71 (79.13), 57 (96.67), 43 (100); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 3511, 2978, 1740, 1703, 1439, 1369, 1175, 973 \text{ cm}^{-1}; ^{1}\text{H} \text{ MNR}$ $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 4.22 - 4.16 \text{ (m, 1 H, CHOH)}, 3.67 \text{ (s, 3 H, CO}_2\text{CH}_3),$

3.35 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1 H, OH), 2.60–2.44 (m, 2 H), 2.40–2.34 (m, 2 H), 1.12 [s, 3 H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.09 [s, 3 H, C(CH₃)₂], 0.98 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CH₂); elemental analysis calcd for C₁₀H₁₈O₄ (202.25): C 59.39, H 8.97; found: C 59.35, H 9.08.

Methyl (3S)-3-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-4,4-dimethyl-5-oxo-heptanoate (24): A solution of 23 (1.011 g, 5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and cyclohexane (10 mL) was added Cl_3CC(=NH)OPMB (10.5 mL, $0.955\,{\mbox{m}}$ in $CH_2Cl_2,$ 10 mmol, 2 equiv) and CF₃SO₃H (22 µL, 0.25 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at RT and then filtered. The filtrate was diluted with Et₂O, and washed with water, sat. NaHCO₃ and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to yield **24** (1.533 g, 95.1%) as a colorless oil. $[a]_{D}^{20} = -9.8$ (c = 1.45, CHCl₃); IR (film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 2970$, 1739, 1704, 1614, 1515, 1250, 1089, 822 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.16$ (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J =8.2 Hz, 2 H), 4.51, 4.36 (AB, $J_{\rm AB}\,{=}\,10.8$ Hz, 2 H), 4.22 (t, $J\,{=}\,5.8$ Hz, 1 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H), 2.50 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.45 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.0 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); MS: m/z (%): 322 (0.34) $[M^+]$, 321 (1.65) $[M^+ - H]$, 248 (4), 1.86 (2), 121 (100), 57 (12); elemental analysis calcd for $C_{18}H_{26}O_5$ (322.40): C 67.06, H 8.13; found: C 67.32, H 8.43.

Methyl (3S)-3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4,4-dimethyl-5-oxo-heptanoate (25): 2,6-Lutidine (1.0 mL, 8.6 mmol, 2.1 equiv) and TBSOTf (1.2 mL, 5.2 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were slowly added at 0 °C to a solution of β hydroxyester 23 (0.831 g, 4.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to RT and stirred for 30 min. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (30 mL) and washed with 1% HCl aqueous solution and brine. The organic phase was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography afforded **25** (1.254 g, 96.4%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -22.5$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); MS: *m*/*z* (%): 317 (81.41) [*M*⁺+H], 301 (24.21) [*M*⁺ – Me], 285 (9.96), 259 (93.42), 243 (25.69), 217 (100), 187 (21.73), 159 (23.16), 57 (10.51); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 2956$, 2859, 1743, 1707, 1473, 1256, 1092, 838, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.48$ (dd, J = 3.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHOSi), 3.66 (s, 3H, CO₂CH₃), 2.62-2.40 (m, 3H, CH₂CO₂Me, CH₂CH₃), 2.29 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂CH₃), 1.12 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.07 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 0.99 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CH₂), 0.83 [s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃], 0.054 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂], -0.0007 (s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂); HRMS: calcd for C₁₂H₂₃O₄Si (C₁₆H₃₂O₄Si - tBu): 259.1367; found: 259.1396.

(3S)-3-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4,4-dimethylheptane-1,5-diol (26): NaBH₄ (48 mg, 1.27 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and CaCl₂ (70 mg, 0.63 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were added to a solution of 25 (100 mg, 0.32 mmol) in THF/ EtOH (4:6, 10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at RT and quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution. The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to furnish diol $\mathbf{26}$ (90 mg, 98 %) as a viscous, colorless oil. MS: m/z (%): 291 (6.99), 273 (9.05), 257 (7.68), 215 (42.79), 189 (77.54), 131 (91.46), 89 (35.57), 75 (100), 59 (44.36); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3321, 2960,$ 1473, 1388, 1257, 1099, 1082, 837, 776, 669 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$: $\delta = 3.74 - 3.55 (m, 4H), 3.29 (br d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (br s, 1H),$ 1.97-1.93 (m, 1 H), 1.90-1.70 (m, 1 H), 1.52-1.46 (m, 1 H), 1.27-1.18 (1 H), 0.96-0.89 (m, 3H), 0.84 (s, 9H), 0.83 (s, 3H), 0.70 (s, 3H), 0.058 (s, 3H), 0.009 (s, 3H).

[(25,3R)-3-Methyloxiran-2-yl]methyl tosylate (16):^[9] Crushed, activated 3 Å molecular sieves (3.0 g) were introduced into a flame-dried 500 mL flask under nitrogen. After the flask was flushed for several minutes with N2, CH2Cl2 (200 mL) was added and the flask was cooled to -20 °C. L-(+)-Diisopropyl tartrate (DIPT) (1.42 g, 6.0 mmol), (E)-2-buten-1-ol (7.21 g, 100 mmol), and Ti(OiPr)4 (1.42 g, 5.0 mmol) were added sequentially. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at -20° C, and a solution of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) (5.0 m in CH2Cl2, 40 mL, 200 mmol, 2 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at -20 °C. Careful quenching of the excess TBHP was accomplished by the slow addition of tri-n-butylphosphine (24.9 mL, 100 mmol, 1 equiv) at -20 °C. A solution of trimethylamine (21 mL, 149 mmol), 4-DMAP (1.5 g, 12 mmol), and ptoluenesulfonyl chloride (19.1 g, 100 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (100 mL) was then added. After being stirred for 30 h at -10° C, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with CH2Cl2. The filtrate was then washed with 10% tartaric acid, saturated NaHCO₃, and saturated NaCl.

The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄. After filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude oil was re-crystallized twice (Et₂O/petroleum ether) to yield **16** (16.696 g, 69 %) as white needles. $[a]_{D}^{20} = -33.7$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); [lit:^[8] $[a]_{D}^{20} = -34.1$ (c = 2.90, CHCl₃); 99.5 % *ee* (determined by chiral HPLC); MS: m/z (%): 243 [M^+ +H] (1.61), 199 (3.53), 155 (92.15), 139 (5.49), 91 (100), 71 (19.66), 65 (27.11), 43 (23.32); IR (KBr): $\bar{v}_{max} = 3009, 2957, 1597, 1494, 1450, 1176, 958, 877, 826, 814, 790, 668 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): <math>\delta = 7.79$ (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 4.17 (dd, J = 3.8, 11.8 Hz, 1H, TsO-CH₂), 3.97 (dd, J = 5.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃CH); elemental analysis calcd for C₁₁H₁₄O₄S (242.29): C 54.53, H 5.82; found: C 54.35, H 5.71.

(2R,3S,10S)-2-Benzyloxy-10-[(4R)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]-undec-5-yn-3-ol (28): A solution of 8 (2.891 g, 14.738 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was cooled to -78 °C, and *n*BuLi (1.6 M in cyclohexane, 9.2 mL, 14.73 mmol, 1 equiv) was added. After being stirred for 15 min, the solution was allowed to warm to -40 °C, and after 40 min at that temperature, it was re-cooled to -78°C. BF₃•Et₂O (1.12 mL, 8.34 mmol, 0.57 equiv) was added. A solution of epoxide 5 (1.312 g, 7.36 mmol, 0.50 equiv) was added dropwise after 10 min, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, and then quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution (5 mL). The mixture was warmed to RT and extracted with EtOAc (3×30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, 10% aqueous NaHCO3 solution and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to furnish alcohol 24 (2.470 g, 89.6%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -40.3 (c = 0.5, CHCl_{3}); MS: m/z (\%): 374 (0.88) [M^{+}],$ 359 (1.35) [*M*⁺ - Me], 317 (11.18), 299 (40.49), 209 (15.22), 181 (17.72), 135 (17.35), 91 (100), 43 (13.48); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm max}\!=\!3470,\,3065,\,3032,\,2936,\,1497,$ 1455, 1379, 1370, 1214, 1072, 862, 738, 699 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 7.34 - 7.24$ (m, 5H, Ph), 4.62, 4.50 (AB, $J_{AB} = 11.6$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂-Ph), 3.99 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (dd, *J* = 6.6, 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.84-3.75 (m, 1 H), 3.60 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.43 (br s, 1 H, OH), 2.30-2.14 (m, 4H, $CH_2C\equiv CCH_2$), 1.58–1.13 [m, 5H, $CH(CH_3)$, 2 × CH_2], 1.43 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.37 [s, 3 H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.21 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CHOBn), 0.95 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, CH₃CH); elemental analysis calcd for C₂₃H₃₄O₄ (374.52): C 73.76, H 9.15; found: C 73.75, H 9.30.

(2R,3S,10S,5Z)-2-Benzyloxy-10-[(4R)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]un-

dec-5-en-3-ol (29): Lindlar catalyst (197 mg, 10% wt) was added to a solution of 28 (1.973 g, 5.27 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL), and the mixture was hydrogenated for 1.5 h at RT. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography gave **29** (1.848 g, 93%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -29.8$ (c = 2.05, CHCl₃); MS: m/z (%): 361 (1.08) [M⁺ – Me], 101 (11.62), 92 (18.05), 91 (100), 81 (10.59), 72 (10.28), 59 (9.51), 43 (28.19); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3475$, 2984, 2934, 1497, 1455, 1379, 1370, 1214, 1071, 736, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 7.36 - 7.26 \text{ (m, 5H, Ph)}, 5.50 - 5.44 \text{ (m, 2H, Ph)}$ HC=CH), 4.63, 4.52 (AB, $J_{AB} = 11.7$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 3.99 (dd, J = 6.2, 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (dd, J = 6.8, 13.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.80 - 3.70 (m, 1 H), 3.62 - 3.52 (m, 2H), 2.27-2.40 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=), 2.08-2.03 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=), 1.63-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.45-1.12 (m, 3H), 1.40 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.35 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.20 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃CHOBn), 0.98 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 3H, CH₃CH); elemental analysis calcd for C₂₃H₃₆O₄ (376.54): C 73.37, H 9.64; found: C 73.13, H 9.71.

(2R,3S,10S,5Z)-2-Benzyloxy-3-(1-ethoxyethyloxy)-10-[(4R)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]undec-5-ene (30): Vinyl ethyl ether (2.55 mL, 26.56 mmol, 10 equiv) and PPTS (46.5 mg, 0.185 mmol, 7 mol%) were added to a solution of alcohol 29 (1.0 g, 2.66 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). After being stirred for 2 h at RT, the mixture was quenched with Na₂CO₃ (200 mg). The solution was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give 30 (1.112 g, 96 %) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -18.9 \ (c = 1.95, \text{CHCl}_{3}); \text{MS}: m/z \ (\%): 433 \ (2.91)$ [M⁺ – Me], 403 (15.68), 345 (15.41), 251 (22.44), 193 (29.17), 91 (70.67), 73 (100), 45 (30.86); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3090, 3066, 2983, 1652, 1497, 1455, 1378,$ 1370, 1214, 1097, 1068, 862, 736, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 7.36–7.24 (m, 5H, Ph-H), 5.46–5.41 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 4.89 [q, J=5.3 Hz, ¹/₂H, OCH(CH₃)O], 4.81 [q, J = 5.3 Hz, ¹/₂H, OCH(CH₃)O], 4.62 - 4.52 (m, 2H, PhCH₂O), 3.98 (dd, J = 6.2, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, J = 6.0, 12.7 Hz, 1H), 3.76-3.51 (m, 5H), 2.35-2.32 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH), 2.03 (brs, 2H, CH₂CH=CH), 1.65-1.19 (m, 14H), 1.39 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.35 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 0.95 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CH); elemental analysis calcd for C₂₇H₄₄O₅ (448.64): C 72.28, H 9.88; found: C 72.29, H 9.65.

(2R,3S,10S,5Z)-3-(1-Ethoxyethyloxy)-10-[(4R)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]undec-5-en-2-ol (31): Liquid ammonium (80 mL) was collected at -78°C, and Na (1.338 g, 58.15 mmol, 10 equiv) added to give a blue solution. To this solution was added THF (20 mL) and a solution of 30 (2.609 g, 5.82 mmol) in THF (10 mL). After being stirred for 30 min at -78°C, the reaction mixture was quenched by carefully adding MeOH (5 mL); after the blue color disappeared, saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (5 mL) was added. The mixture was allowed to RT to remove most of NH₃, and the residue was extracted with EtOAc (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give pure $\mathbf{31}$ (2.041 g, 97.9 %) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -3.0 \ (c = 1.25, \text{ CHCl}_{3}); \text{ MS: } m/z \ (\%): 313 \ (1.80), 297 \ (6.70), 255$ (4.06), 175 (9.24), 101 (31.62), 73 (100), 43 (26); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3478, 2983$, 2935, 1458, 1379, 1370, 1215, 1058, 946, 861 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.46 - 5.42$ (m, 2H, CH=CH), 4.83 [q, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H, OCH(CH₃)], 3.99 (dd, J = 6.4, 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.91 - 3.85 (m, 2 H), 3.72 - 3.50 (m, 4H), 2.48 (brs, 1H, OH), 2.40-2.20 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH), 2.05 (brs, 2H, CH₂CH=CH), 1.60-1.25 (m, 5H), 1.40 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.35 [s, 3H, $C(CH_3)_2$], 1.34 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 3H, CH₃CHO), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, CH₃CH₂O), 1.19 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, CH₃CHO), 0.96 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH₃CH); elemental analysis calcd for C₂₀H₃₈O₅ (358.52): C 67.00, H 10.68; found: C 67.47, H 10.44.

(35,105,5Z)-3-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyoxy)-10-[(4*R*)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]undec-5-en-2-one (32): A solution of oxalyl chloride (1 mL, 11.50 mmol, 2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was cooled to -78° C, and a solution of DMSO (1.8 mL, 25.15 mmol, 4.4 equiv) added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78° C, and a solution of 31 (2.041 g, 5.69 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was added. After 2 h of stirring at -78° C, the mixture was quenched with Et₃N (4 mL, ≈ 6 equiv) and was allowed to warm to RT. The mixture was diluted with EtOAcc (100 mL) and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford ketone 32 as colorless oil, which was used directly in next step without further purification.

Ketone 32 was dissolved in n-propanol (30 mL), and PPTS (450 mg) added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at RT and quenched with Na₂CO₃. After being vigorously stirred for 10 min, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), and washed with water and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄. After filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in DMF (30 mL). Imidazole (3.379 g, 49.69 mmol, 8.7 equiv) and TBSCl (2.247 g, 14.91 mmol, 2.6 equiv) were added at 0°C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to RT and stirred for additional 5 h. To the mixture was added ice water (20 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL), and two phases separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over Na2SO4. After filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to yield compound **34** (1.935 g, 85.3 %) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -16.0 (c = 1.5,$ CHCl₃); MS: m/z (%): 383 (1.05) $[M^+ - Me]$, 355 (1.97), 283 (5.98), 171 (12.00), 157 (21.26), 101 (16.02), 73 (100), 43 (52.64); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 2957$, 1718, 1464, 1379, 1369, 1254, 1103, 838, 778 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.55 - 5.48$ (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.48 - 5.42 (m, 1 H, CH=CH), 4.01 (dd, J = 7.3, 13.5 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (dd, J = 6.9, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.45-2.37 (m, 1 H), 2.37-2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.16 (s, 3 H, CH₃CO), 1.98 (br d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.64 – 1.20 (m, 4H), 1.40 [s, 3H, C(CH₃)₂], 1.35 [s, $3 H, C(CH_3)_2$], $1.20 - 0.90 (m, 1 H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, CH_3CH), 0.92 [s,$ 9H, (CH₃)₃C-Si], 0.099 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.066 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂]; elemental analysis calcd for C22H42O4Si (398.66): C 66.28, H 10.62; found: C 66.49, H 10.15.

(15,85,3Z)-1-[(1E)-1-Methyl-2-(2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)vinyl]-8-[(4R)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]-1-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxy)non-3-ene

(35): A solution of 6 (5.267 g, 15.05 mmol, 3 equiv) in THF (50 mL) was cooled to 0° C, and *t*BuOK (1.405 g, 12.54 mmol, 2.5 equiv) added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min to obtain an orange solution. A solution of ketone 34 (2.0 g, 5.02 mmol, 1 equiv) was added at 0° C, and result mixture was warmed slowly to RT. After being stirred for 2 h at RT, the reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (10 mL), extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL). The organic layer was washed with

Chem. Eur. J. **2002**, *8*, No. 16 © 2002 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/02/0816-3753 \$ 20.00+.50/0

water and brine, dried over MgSO₄. After filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to give **35** (2.279 g, 92%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{2D} = -7.1 (c = 2.8, CHCl_3); MS: m/z (%): 494 (10.46) [M^++H], 478 (7.11) [M^+ - Me], 362 (1.69), 282 (100), 151 (1.88), 73 (9.93), 43 (3.83); IR (film): <math>\hat{v}_{max} = 3095$, 2985, 2858, 1506, 1472, 1463, 1378, 1369, 1253, 1071, 837, 776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta = 6.93$ (s, 1H, SCH=C), 6.46 (s, 1H, CH=CCH_3), 5.42 - 5.37 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 4.16 - 4.09 (m, 1H, CHOSi), 3.99 (dd, J = 6.5, 78 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J = 6.6, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (s, 3H, N=C(CH_3)S), 2.33 - 2.28 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH), 2.05 - 1.98 (m, 5H, CH₂CH=CH, CH₃C=CH), 1.56 - 1.10 [m, 5H, CH(CH₃), 2 × CH₂], 1.40 [s, 3H, CiC(H₃)₂], 0.054 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.021 [s, 3H, CiG₃CH₃)₂]; elemental analysis calcd for C₂₇H₄₇O₃NSSi (493.82): C 65.67, H 9.59, N 2.83; found: C 65.40, H 9.60, N 2.90.

(2R,3S,10S,7Z,11E)-10-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-3,11-dimethyl-12-

(2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)dodeca-7,11-diene-1,2-diol (36): CuCl₂·H₂O (385 mg, 2.26 mmol, 3 equiv) was added to a solution of 35 (372 mg, 0.75 mmol) in CH₃CN (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at RT, quenched with H₂O (20 mL), extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated solution of NH4Cl and brine, dried over Na2SO4. After filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give corresponding diol of 35 (272 mg, 79.6%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -0.70$ (c = 3.3, CHCl₃); MS: m/z (%): 454 (3.76) $[M^++H]$, 438 (1.87) $[M^+-Me]$, 422 (3.58), 394 (6.37), 322 (10.09), 282 (100), 151 (2.96), 73 (12.38); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3369, 3013, 2955, 1656, 1508,$ 1472, 1253, 1075, 837, 776 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.94$ (s, 1H, SCH=C), 6.45 (s, 1H, CH=CCH₃), 5.42-5.38 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 4.12 (brt, J=6.3 Hz, 1 H, CHOSi), 3.67-3.50 (m, 3 H), 2.88 (brs, 2 H), 2.70 [s, 3H, N=C(CH₃)S], 2.32-2.27 (m, 2H), 2.05-1.95 (m, 2H), 1.97 (s, 3H, $CH_3C=CH$), 1.52–1.10 [m, 5 H, $CH(CH_3)$, 2 × CH_2], 0.91 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CH), 0.88 [s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 0.055 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.001 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂]; elemental analysis calcd for C₂₄H₄₃O₃NSSi (453.76): C 63.53, H 9.55, N 3.09; found: C 63.27, H 9.37, N 3.07.

A solution of the diol of **35** (91 mg, 0.20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added to a suspension of NaIO₄/silica gel (1.0 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The mixture was vigorously stirred for 15 min at RT, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to give **36** (84 mg, quant.) as a colorless oil. The product was used directly without further purification. $[a]_{D}^{20} = +12.3$ (c=1.6, CHCl₃). [lit:^[3b] $[a]_{D}^{20} = +13.3$ (c=0.7, CHCl₃)]; MS: m/z (%): 422 (0.91) $[M^++H]$, 284 (10.76), 283 (24.71), 282 (100), 75 (19.42), 73 (43.15), 45 (5.55); IR (film): $\vec{v}_{max} = 2955$, 2930, 2858, 1727, 1507, 1472, 1463, 1253, 1184, 1076, 938, 837, 777 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.59$ (d, J = 2.1, 1H; CHO), 6.92 (s, 1H, SCH=C), 6.45 (s, 1H, CH=CCH₃), 5.41–5.38 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 4.11 (dd, J = 6.4, 6.3 Hz, 1H; CHOSi), 2.70 (s, 3H, thiazole CH₃), 1.72–1.64 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.33 (m, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃CHO), 0.88 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.05 (s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂), -0.002 (s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂).

Aldol reaction of keto acid 7 a with aldehyde 36: A solution of keto acid 7 a (185 mg, 0.60 mmol, 3 equiv) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise to a freshly prepared solution of LDA [diisopropylamine (0.2 mL, 0.14 mmol) was added to nBuLi (0.75 mL, 1.6 M solution in hexanes, 1.20 mmol) in THF (1 mL) at 0°C] at -78°C. After being stirred for 15 min, the solution was allowed to warm to -40 °C, and after 30 min at that temperature, it was recooled to -78°C. A solution of aldehyde 36 (84 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min and then quenched with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (1 mL). The reaction mixture was warmed to 0 °C, and acetic acid (0.1 mL) was added, followed by addition of EtOAc (10 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution and brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford a mixture of aldol products $37\,a,b$ in $\approx\!1{:}1$ ratio and un-reacted keto acid 7a. The mixture was dissolved in CH2Cl2 (8 mL) and treated with 2,6-lutidine (0.23 mL, 2.0 mmol, 10 equiv) and TBSOTf (0.27 mL, 1.2 mmol, 6.0 equiv) at 0 °C. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, aqueous 10% HCl (3 mL) was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution and brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered,

and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL), and K₂CO₃ (166 mg, 1.20 mmol, 6 equiv) was added at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred for 15 min, and acidified with a 1M KHSO₄ solution to pH 4-5. Most of MeOH was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL). The organic solution was washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution and brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated to furnish a mixture of carboxylic acids 39 a, b, and keto acid 7 a. Purification of the mixture by flash chromatography gave 39a,b (122 mg, 72.2%) as a 1:1 mixture (¹H NMR) as a colorless oil. $[a]_{D}^{20} = -3.8$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); ESI-MS: m/z: 845 [M⁺+H], 639, 604, 580, 544; MS: m/z (%): 844 [M⁺], 698 (0.74), 516 (3.26), 478 (5.01), 284 (11.01), 282 (100), 121 (23.69), 75 (13.96); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.20$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.91 (s, 1H, H-19), 6.82 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.57 (s, ¹/₂H, H-17), 6.47 (s, ¹/₂H, H-17), 5.42-5.38 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 4.65, 4.45 (AB, J_{AB}=10.6 Hz, 2H, ArCH₂O), 4.33-4.25 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.12 (dd, J=7.1, 14.2 Hz, 1H, H-15), 3.87 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H, H-7), 3.78 (s, 3H, CH₃OAr), 3.18-3.14 (m, 1H, H-6), 2.77 (s. $\frac{1}{2} \times 3$ H, H-21), 2.72 (s. $\frac{1}{2} \times 3$ H, H-21), 2.58–2.49 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.08-1.95 (m, 2H), 1.95 (s, ½ × 3H, H-27), 1.93 (s, ½ × 3H, H-27), 1.48-1.03 (m, 8H), 1.20 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.16 (s, 3H, H-23), 1.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, H-25), 0.89 [s, 18 H, $2 \times SiC(CH_3)_3$], 0.085-0.049 [m, $12 H, 2 \times Si(CH_3)_2$]

Hydroxy acids 40 a, b: A solution of **39 a, b** (122 mg, 0.14 mmol) in THF (3 mL) at 25 °C was treated with TBAF (0.9 mL, 1_M solution in THF, 0.9 mmol, 6.4 equiv). After being stirred for 8 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and washed with aqueous solution of HCl (10 mL, 1_N solution). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (4 × 10 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution and brine, dried over MgSO₄. After filtration, solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give **40 a, b** (90 mg, 85.3%, 1:1 mixture) as a colorless oil. Mixture of **40 a, b**: MS: m/z (%): 730 (1.35) [M^+], 715 (1.63) [M^+ – Me], 712 (16.00) [M^+ – H₂O], 566 (10.81), 168 (12.83), 121 (100), 75 (8.22); IR (film): $\vec{v}_{max} = 2934$, 2858, 1614, 1515, 1472, 1250, 1040, 986, 836, 775 cm⁻¹.

Compound **40 a**: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -12.2$ (c = 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.17$ (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 5.56–5.47 (m, 1H), 5.40–5.32 (m, 1H), 4.57, 4.40 (AB, $J_{AB} = 10.7$ Hz, 2H), 4.31 (brt, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.18–4.06 (m, 2H), 3.84 (brd, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.11 (dq, J = 7.2, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 2.51–2.30 (m, 4H), 2.05–1.98 (m, 2H), 1.98 (s, 3H), 1.84–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.32–1.14 (m, 8H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.77 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 3H), 0.050 (s, 3H), 0.039 (s, 3H).

Compound **40b**: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -5.6$ (c = 2.7, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.20$ (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 5.60 – 5.48 (m, 1H), 5.45 – 5.32 (m, 1H), 4.64, 4.42 (AB, $J_{AB} = 10.7$ Hz, 2H), 4.37 – 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.17 (brt, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (brd, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.15 (dq, J = 5.7, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (brs, 2H), 2.77 (s, 3H), 2.48 – 2.39 (m, 4H), 2.02 (brs, 5H), 1.48 – 0.98 (m, 8H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.80 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 0.064 (s, 6H).

Macrolactonization of hydroxy acids 40 a, b: A solution of hydroxy acids **40 a, b** (43 mg, 0.06 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was treated at RT with Et₃N (70 μ L, 0.5 mmol, 8.3 equiv) and 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (70 μ L, 0.45 mmol, 7.5 equiv). The mixture was stirred for 15 min, diluted with toluene (20 mL), and then added dropwise to a solution of 4-DMAP (73 mg, 0.6 mmol, 10 equiv) in toluene (10 mL) within 3 h at 80 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h at 80 °C, and concentrated under reduced pressure to a small volume and filtered through silica gel. The residue was washed with 40% Et₂O in hexanes, and the resulting solution was concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (silica gel, 3% acetone in hexanes) gave pure lactone **41** (17 mg, 40.5%) and **42** (17 mg, 40.5%) as colorless oils.

Lactone 41: $R_f = 0.33$ (30% Et₂O in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{(0)} = -38$ (c = 0.75, CHCl₃); MS: m/z (%): 712 (5.46) $[M^++H]$, 645 (16.46), 566 (11.59), 446 (8.21), 346 (4.71), 164 (7.93), 121 (100), 75 (9.00); IR (film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3004$, 2956, 2857, 1720, 1697, 1614, 1514, 1470, 1376, 1361, 1246, 1123, 837, 777 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.14$ (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H), 6.94 (s, 1 H, H-19), 6.78 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H), 6.65 (s, 1 H, H-17), 5.39 (brs, 3 H, CH=CH, H-17), 4.60, 4.50 (AB, 2 H, ArCH₂O, $J_{AB} = 10.8$ Hz),

 $4.08 \ (dd, J = 3.8, 8.5 \ Hz, 1 \ H, H-3), 3.78 \ (s, 4 \ H, H-7, CH_3OAr), 3.01 \ (dq, J = 5.5, 6.9 \ Hz, 1 \ H, H-6), 2.79 - 2.68 \ (m, 2 \ H), 2.70 \ (s, 3 \ H, H-21), 2.27 - 2.02 \ (m, 4 \ H), 2.12 \ (s, 3 \ H, H-27), 1.66 - 1.38 \ (m, 5 \ H), 1.25 \ (s, 3 \ H, H-22), 1.15 \ (s, 3 \ H, H-23), 1.06 \ (d, J = 6.8 \ Hz, 3 \ H, H-24), 0.95 \ [s, 9 \ H, SiC(CH_3)_3], 0.88 \ (d, J = 6.9 \ Hz, 3 \ H, H-25), 0.07 \ [s, 3 \ H, Si(CH_3)_2], 0.03 \ [s, 3 \ H, Si(CH_3)_2]; HRMS: calcd for C_{40}H_{61}NO_6SSi: 711.3992; found: 711.3988.$

Lactone 42: $R_{\rm f} = 0.35$ (30 % Et₂O in hexanes); $[a]_{\rm D}^{20} = -62$ (c = 0.70, CHCl₃); MS: m/z (%): 712 (18.57) $[M^++H]$, 654 (21.18), 566 (17.67), 446 (7.33), 405 (14.16), 346 (3.86), 121 (100), 75 (9.06); IR (film): $\bar{\nu}_{\rm max} = 2958$, 2857, 1737, 1691, 1515, 1387, 1250, 1039, 984, 836, 775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.17$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.95 (s, 1H, H-19), 6.82 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.53 (s, 1H, H-17), 5.44 – 5.34 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 5.35 (brd, 1H, H-15), 4.58, 4.46 (AB, $J_{\rm AB} = 10.2$ Hz, 2H, ArCH₂O), 3.99 (dd, J = 3.9, 8.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.91 (brd, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 3.79 (s, 3H, CH₃OAr), 3.24 (dq, J = 7.2, 7.3 Hz, 1H, H-6), 2.69 (s, 3H, H-21), 2.71 – 2.40 (m, 2H), 2.39 – 2.00 (m, 4H), 2.15 (s, 3H, H-27), 1.64 – 1.23 (m, 5H), 1.20 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.11 (s, 3H, H-23), 1.04 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, H-24), 0.90 [s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₂], 0.61 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H, H-25), 0.09 [s, 3H, Si(CH₃)₂]; HRMS: calcd for C₄₀H₆₁No₆SSi: 711.3992; found: 711.4014.

Preparation of epothilone C (3a): To lactone 41 (22 mg, 0.031 mmol) cooled to -20° C, was added a freshly prepared 20% (v/v) CF₃COOH solution in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to reach 0 °C and was stirred for 1 h. The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give pure epothilone C (**3a**; 13 mg, 88%) as white foam. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -83.0$ (c = 0.4, CHCl₃); [lit:^[3b] $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -80.2$ (c = 1.7, CHCl₃)]; MS: m/z (%): 477 (10.95) $[M^+]$, 459 (4.43) $[M^+ - H_2O]$, 389 (6.16), 290 (24.60), 168 (85.93), 121 (48.70), 97 (37.76), 57 (72.48), 43 (100); IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3449, 2964, 2930,$ 1733, 1687, 1649, 1467, 1262, 1093, 803, 757 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.97$ (s, 1H, H-19), 6.60 (s, 1H, H-17), 5.46-5.38 (m, 2H, CH=CH), 5.29 (dd, J=9.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-15), 4.25 (d, J=10.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.74 (br s, 1 H, H-7), 3.39 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.14 (dq, J = 6.7, 1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-6), 3.05 (brs, 1H, OH), 2.70 (s, 3H, H-21), 2.71-2.63 (m, 1H), 2.50 (dd, J = 14.9, 11.2 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.34 (dd, J = 15.1, 2.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.30 – 2.10 (m, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H, H-27), 2.10-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.34 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.45-1.15 (m, 3H), 1.19 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 3H, H-24), 1.08 (s, 3H, H-23), 1.00 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-25); HRMS: calcd for $C_{26}H_{39}NO_5S$ 477.2551; found: 477.2553.

Preparation of epothilone A (1): A solution of **3a** (14 mg, 0.029 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was cooled to -50 °C, a freshly prepared 3,3-dimethyldioxirane (2 mL, ≈ 0.1 m in acetone). The resulting solution was allowed to warm to -30 °C for 1 h. A stream of nitrogen was then bubbled through the solution to remove excess 3,3-dimethyldioxirane. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (40% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford epothilone A (1; 7.5 mg, 52%) as a white solid and its β -epoxide diastereoisomer (1.5 mg, 10%).

Epothilone A (1): $[a]_{D}^{20} = -41.5$ (c = 0.20, CH₃OH); $[\text{lit}:^{[1]} [a]_{D}^{20} = -47.1$ (c = 1.0, CH₃OH)]; MS: m/z (%): 493 (9.02) $[M^+]$, 494 (7.03) $[M^++H]$, 476 (3.42) $[M^+ - H_2O]$, 322 (21.93), 306 (85.94), 304 (61.60), 164 (100), 57 (51.67), 43 (62.83); IR (film): $\bar{\nu}_{max} = 3462$, 2960, 1737, 1690, 1506, 1467, 1260, 1153, 980, 757cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.98$ (s, 1H, H-19), 6.60 (s, 1H, H-17), 5.43 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-15), 4.20-4.17 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.96 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H, 3-OH), 3.79 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 3.49 (brs, OH), 3.23 (dq, J = 11.6, 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 3.03 (m, 1H, H-13), 2.92 (m, 1H, H-12), 2.70 (s, 3H, H-21), 2.60 (brs, 1H, 7-OH), 2.57 (dd, J = 14.4, 10.4 Hz, 1H, H-2), 2.41 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-2), 6.67 (m, 2H, H-8, H-11), 1.52-1.40 (m, 5H, 2H-9, 2H-10, H-11), 1.37 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.18 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, H-24), 1.10 (s, 3H, H-23), 1.01 (d, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz, H-25); HRMS: calcd for C₂₆H₃₉NO₆S: 493.2498; found: 493.2487.

β-Epoxide isomer of epothilone A: $[a]_D^{20} = -51$ (c = 0.10, CH₃OH); MS: *m*/*z* (%): 493 (2.93) [*M*⁺], 405 (4.42), 380 (6.15), 306 (49.51), 164 (89.26), 151 (34.98), 57 (68.64), 43 (100); IR (film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3476$, 2929, 1736, 1689, 1509, 1459, 1257, 1152, 983, 913, 732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 6.98 (s, 1 H, H-19), 6.61 (s, 1 H, H-17), 5.70 (d, 1 H, H-15, J = 8.1 Hz), 4.13 (brd, J = 10.6 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 4.01 (brs, 1 H, OH), 3.94 (brd, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 3.49 (s, 1 H, OH), 3.32 (dd, J = 2.3, 7.1 Hz, 1 H, H-6), 3.28–3.22 (dt, J = 9.3, 4.2 Hz, 1 H, H-13), 2.97 (dt, J = 3.3, 10.1 Hz, 1 H, H-12), 2.71 (s, 3 H, H-21), 2.50 (dd, J = 10.8, 12.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.40 (dd, J = 2.6, 12.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.12 (s, 3H, H-27), 2.09 – 2.03 (m, 1H, H-14), 1.92 – 1.78 (m, 3H, H-14, 2H-11), 1.59 – 1.20 (m, 5H, 2H-10, 2H-9, H-8), 1.36 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-24), 1.05 (s, 3H, H-23), 0.95 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, H-25).

(4S,7R,8S,16S)-8-Hydroxy-4-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5,5,7,9-tetramethyl-16-[(E)-1-methyl-2-(2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)-1-ethenyl]-1-oxacyclohexadec-13-en-2,6-dione (3b): HF · pyridine (0.6 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of lactone 41 (21 mg, 29.5 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL) at RT in a plastic vial. After being stirred for 2 h at RT, the reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (20 mL) and slowly added NaCO₃ until no further CO₂ was formed. The mixture was filtered, and the organic layer was washed with sat. aqueous CuSO₄, water and brine, dried over NaSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography provided **3b** (16 mg, 91%) as a wax-like solid. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -70.3$ (c = 0.40, CHCl₃); MS: m/z (%): 598 [M⁺+H] (1.24), 290 (5.57), 168 (12.25), 164 (21.25), 137 (21.61), 121 (100), 77 (10.91); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3563, 3100, 3007,$ 2961, 2929, 2854, 1717, 1687, 1614, 1514, 1469, 1270, 1246, 1181, 1093, 1024, 824, 747 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.08$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.90 (s, 1H, H-19), 6.75 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, H-17), 5.42–5.33 (m, 3 H, CH=CH, H-15), 4.53, 4.46 (AB, $J_{AB} = 10.4$ Hz, 2 H, Ar-CH₂O), 4.09 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 3.77 (s, 3 H, CH₃OAr), 3.75 -3.73 (m, 1 H, H-7), 3.09-3.04 (m, 2 H, H-6, OH), 2.81-2.71 (m, 2 H, H-14), 2.69 (s, 3 H, H-21), 2.54 (dd, J = 15.5, 2.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.22 - 2.09 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-11), 2.13 (s, 3 H, H-27), 2.0-1.90 (m, 1 H, H-11), 1.75-1.52 (m, 2 H), 1.43-1.10 (m, 3H), 1.22 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.17 (s, 3H, H-23), 1.15 (d, J= 6.7 Hz, 3H, H-24), 0.98 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-25).

(15,35,75,10R,115,125,16R)-3-[(E)-1-Methyl-2-(2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4yl)-1-ethenyl]-11-hydroxy-7-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-8,8,10,12-tetramethyl-4,17-dioxa-bicyclo[14.1.0]heptadecane-5,9-dione (43): A freshly prepared solution of 3,3-dimethyldioxirane (0.1m in acetone, 2 mL) was added at $-\,50\,^{\circ}C$ to a solution of $3\,b$ (10 mg, 16.7 $\mu mol)$ in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -30°C for 2 h. A stream of nitrogen gas was then bubbled through the solution to remove excess 3,3dimethyldioxirane. The residue was purified by flash chromatography and afforded **43** (9 mg, 88 %) as a wax-like solid. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -60 (c = 0.20, CHCl_{3});$ $\text{MS:}\ m/z\ (\%): 614\ [M^+ + \text{H}]\ (13.37),\ 306\ (9.78),\ 164\ (13.37),\ 137\ (15.13),\ 121$ (100), 57 (10.36); IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm max}\!=\!3502,\,3107,\,2960,\,2929,\,2858,\,1736,\,1687,$ 1614, 1515, 1465, 1388, 1371, 1302, 1251, 1180, 1155, 1036, 980, 823, 757 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.09 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H), 6.92 (s, 1H, H-19), 6.77 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, H-17), 5.53 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, H-15), 4.55, 4.48 (AB, $J_{AB} = 10.3$ Hz, 2 H, ArCH₂O), 4.09 (dd, J=10.0, 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.81 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 3.13 (dq, J = 6.7, 3.1 Hz, 1H, H-6), 2.99 (td, J = 9.1, 3.6 Hz, 1 H, H-13), 2.78-2.60 (m, 2H, H-12, H-2), 2.69 (s, 3H, H-21), 2.61 (dd, J = 15.1, 3.1 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.18-2.10 (m, 1 H, H-14), 2.14 (s, 3 H, H-27), 2.05-2.00 (m, 1H, H-14), 1.95-1.85 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.80-1.55 (m, 1H, H-11), 1.45-1.20 (m, 5H, 2H-10, 2H-9, H-8), 1.24 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.21 (s, 3H, H-23), 1.15 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, H-24), 0.98 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-25).

Epothilone A (1): 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ, 4 mg, 17.6 μ M) was added to a solution of 43 (4 mg, 6.62 μ M) in CH₂Cl₂/ H₂O (20:1, 1.0 mL). the reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 1 h, then diluted with EtOAc (20 mL). The organic solution was washed with saturated aqueous NaCO3, water and brine, dried over NaSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography gave epothilone A (1; 3 mg, 93 %) as a white solid. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -41.5 \ (c = 0.20,$ CH₃OH); [lit:^[1] $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -47.1 \ (c = 1.0, CH_{3}OH)$]; MS: $m/z \ (\%)$: 493 (9.02) $[M^+]$, 494 (7.03) M⁺ + H], 476 (3.42) $[M^+ - H_2O]$, 322 (21.93), 306 (85.94), 304 (61.60), 164 (100), 57 (51.67), 43 (62.83); IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm max}\!=\!3462,\,2960,$ 1737, 1690, 1506, 1467, 1260, 1153, 980, 757 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 6.98$ (s, 1 H, H-19), 6.60 (s, 1 H, H-17), 5.43 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-15), 4.20-4.17 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.96 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 1H, 3-OH), 3.79 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 3.49 (brs, OH), 3.23 (dq, J = 11.6, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6), 3.03 (m, 1H, H-13), 2.92 (m, 1H, H-12), 2.70 (s, 3H, H-21), 2.60 (brs, 1 H, 7-OH), 2.57 (dd, J = 14.4, 10.4 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.41 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.2 Hz, 1 H, H-2), 2.17-2.11 (m, 1 H, H-14), 2.09 (s, 3 H, H-27), 1.93-1.85 (m, 1 H, H-14), 1.75-1.67 (m, 2H, H-8, H-11), 1.52-1.40 (m, 5H, 2H-9, 2H-10, H-11), 1.37 (s, 3H, H-22), 1.18 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, H-24), 1.10 (s, 3H, H-23), 1.01 (d, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz, H-25); HRMS: calcd for C₂₆H₃₉NO₆S: 493.2498; found: 93.2487.

Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, No. 16 © 2002 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/02/0816-3755 \$ 20.00+.50/0

FULL PAPER

Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by the National Sciences Foundation of China.

- a) G. Höfle, N. Bedorf, K. Gerth, H. Reichenbach, DE 4138042, 1993
 [*Chem. Abstr.* 1993, 120, 52841]; b) G. Höfle, N. Bedorf, H. Steinmetz,
 D. Schomburg, G. Gerth, H. Reichenbach, *Angew. Chem.* 1996, 108, 1671–1673; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1996, 35, 1567–1569; c) I. H. Hardt, H. Steinmetz, K. Gerth, F. Sasse, H. Reichenbach, G. Höfle, *J. Nat. Prod.* 2001, 64, 847–856.
- [2] Reviews: a) K. C. Nicolaou, F. Roschangar, D. Vourloumis, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 2120-2153; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2014-2045; b) J. Mulzer, Monatsh. Chem. 2000, 131, 205-238.
- [3] a) D. Meng, P. Bertinato, A. Balog, D.-S. Su, T. Kamenecka, E. Sorensen, S. J. Danishefsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10073–10092; b) K. C. Nicolaou, Y. He, D. Vourloumis, H. Vallberg, F. Roschangar, F. Sarabia, S. Ninkovic, Z. Yang, J. I. Trujillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7960–7973; c) K. C. Nicolaou, S. Ninkovic, F. Sarabia, D. Vourloumis, Y. He, H. Vallberg, M. R. V. Finlay, Z. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7974–7991; d) D. Schinzer, A. Bauer, O. M. Bohm, A. Limberg, M. Cordes, Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2483–2491; e) S. C. Sinha, C. F. Barbas III, R. A. Lerner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1998, 95, 14603–14608; f) S. C. Sinha, J. Sun, G. P. Miller, M. Wartmann, R. A. Lerner, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 1691–1702; g) B. Zhu, J. S. Panek, Org. Lett. 2000, 12, 215–219; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 209–213; i) D. Sawada, M. Kanai, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10521–10532.
- [4] J. W. Bode, E. M. Carreira, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3611-3612.
- [5] The Shibasaki group reported the synthesis of epothilone A (1) using multifunctional catalytic asymmetric reactions starting from 2,2dimethyl-propane-1,3-diol and thiomethacrylic acid (S)-ethyl ester over 23 steps in 0.17 % overall yield and 25 steps in 0.6 % overall yield, see ref. [3h, i], respectively.
- [6] R. E. Taylor, J. Zajicek, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7224-7228.
- [7] a) Z.-Y. Liu, C.-Z. Yu, J. Yang, *Synlett* **1997**, 1383–1384; b) Z.-Y. Liu, C.-Z. Yu, R.-F. Wang, G. Li, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 5261–5264.
 [8] D. F. Taber, J. B. Houze, *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 4004–4006.
- [9] Y. Gao, R. M. Hanson, J. M. Klunder, S. Y. Ko, H. Masamune, K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5765-5780.
- [10] A. Brandes, U. Eggert, H. M. R. Hoffmann, Synlett 1994, 745-747.
- [11] F. E. Hooper, T. B. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1934, 56, 470-471.
- [12] D. Schinzer, A. Limberg, O. M. Boehm, Chem. Eur. J. 1996, 2, 1477– 1482.
- [13] T. Gabriel, L. Wessjohann, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 1363-1366.
- [14] J. De Brabander, S. Rosset, G. Bernardinelli, Synlett 1997, 824-826.

- [15] B. Panicker, J. M. Karle, M. A. Avery, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 7859–7868.
- [16] J. Mulzer, A. Mantoulidis, E. Oehler, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7456– 7467.
- [17] N. Yoshikawa, Y. M. A. Yamada, J. Das, H. Sasai, M Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4168–4178.
- [18] E. Claus, A. Pahl, P. G. Jones, H. M. Meyer, M. Kalesse, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 1359–1362.
- [19] M. Kalesse, M. Quitschalle, E. Claus, K. Gerlach, A. Pahl, H. H. Meyer, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 2817–2823.
- [20] R. E. Taylor, G. M. Galvin, K. A. Hilfiker, Y Chen, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9580–9583.
- [21] M. Tokunaga, J. F. Larrow, F. Kakiuchi, E. N. Jacobsen, *Science* 1997, 277, 936–938.
- [22] K. Hinterding, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2164–2165.
 [23] I. Mori, K. Ishihara, C. H. Heathcock, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1114–
- 1117.
- [24] Compound **7b**: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -17.7$ (c = 0.8, CHCl₃); lit: $[^{[3c]} [\alpha]_D^{22} = +16.1$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃). Professor Nicolaou indicated in a correspondence that there was a typographical error in ref. [3c] for the optical rotation of **7b** which should indeed be of negative value.
- [25] Independently, the Avery group used the same acetylide opening epoxide strategy for the synthesis of epothilone A C7-C15 segment: P. Bijoy, M. A. Avery, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 209-212.
- [26] Z. Zhao, G.S. Scarlato, R.W. Armstrong, *Tetrahedron* 1991, 32, 1609–1612.
- [27] The salt 6 had independently been used by the Mulzer group to react with a shorter chain ketone to give the *E* configured double bond compound with some *Z* isomer in the synthesis of epothilone B, see refs. [16, 26].
- [28] K. C. Nicolaou, D. Hepworth, M. R. V. Finlay, N. P. King, B. Werschkun, A. Bigot, *Chem. Commun.* 1999, 519–520.
- [29] We thank Professor G. Höfle for kindly providing us with natural samples of epothilone A and C.
- [30] The Snyder group proposed the epoxide ring at C12–C13 forms an intramolecular cross-ring hydrogen bond with the hydrogen of the 3βhydroxy group. In that case, the 3β-hydroxy group should direct the αepoxidation of epothilone C to give epothilone A, although this was not observed in our experiments: M. Wang, X. Xia, Y. Kim, D. Hwang, J. M. Jansen, M. Botta, D. C. Liotta, J. P. Snyder, *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 43–46.
- [31] During the revision of this manuscript, Fürstner and Avery published their synthesis of epothilone A: A. Fürstner, C. Mathes, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 1057–1059; R. M. Hindupur, B. Panicker, M. Valluri, M. A. Avery, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 7341–7344.

Received: April 18, 2002 [F4026]

3756 -